

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Case No. CV 24-03581-SK Date: June 28, 2024

Title Brandon Vogts v. Edwin Pirverdian et al

---

Present: The Honorable: Steve Kim, United States Magistrate Judge

Connie Chung  
Deputy Clerk

n/a  
Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s):

Attorneys Present for Defendant(s):

None present

None present

**Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL  
FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).

In the present case, it appears that the below time period has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff to show cause, in writing, on or before **July 12, 2024**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff's response. Failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

- Defendant Edwin Pirverdian did not answer the complaint, yet Plaintiff has failed to request entry of default, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by seeking entry of default or by

IT IS SO ORDERED.